

Tensor Potential Description of Matter and Space

Boris Hikin

E-mail: boris.hikin@verizon.net

Tel: 310-922-4752, or 310-826-0209, USA

Abstract:

A unified field theory for the description of matter in a curved space is discussed. The description is based on a standard Lagrangian formalism in a pseudo-Euclidian 4D continuum using a 3-index tensor as independent variables. The theory defines gravitational matter as a vector field and contains the Einstein-Schwarzschild solution for the metric tensor in the case of stationary spherical symmetry. Maxwell's equations, generalized for curved space, offer an alternative to the expanding Universe explanation for the red shift phenomenon. The theory contains a covariant law for conservation of matter and strictly supports the Mach principle. A perturbation method allows a natural transition to the special relativity, providing an explanation and the scale of elementary particles parameters.

Introduction

By proposing his theory of General Relativity (GR), in which gravitation is fully explained from a geometrical point of view, Einstein made the first step in what would become a 100-year-long march toward the geometrization of physics. Two common threads can be seen in most geometrization theories: a) broadening the definition of geometrical objects - be it affine connections, the metric tensor, the dimension of space or a combination of the above - to yield, in addition to the metric tensor g_{ij} , the tensor that describes matter; and b) yielding, in some form, Einstein's equation

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ij} = T_{ij} \quad (1)$$

The first attempt to geometrize matter came almost immediately after Einstein's work. In 1918, Weyl [1] offered his theory of gravitation-electromagnetism based on widening the definition of affine connections by adding a vector potential A_i :

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i = \gamma_{jk}^i + g^{is}(A_j g_{sk} + A_k g_{sj} - A_s g_{jk})$$

Here γ_{jk}^i are Christoffel symbols and the Riemann tensor defined as

$$R_{jkl}^i = \Gamma_{jl,k}^i - \Gamma_{jl,l}^i + \Gamma_{mk}^i \Gamma_{jl}^m - \Gamma_{ml}^i \Gamma_{jk}^m \quad (2)$$

serves as the tensor of matter.

Eddington [2] widened the definition of affine connections even further by postulating that all Γ s (symmetrical in his case, $\Gamma_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{kj}^i$) are independent variables in his unified theory and g_{ij} is proportional to the generalized Ricci tensor. Einstein [3] extended the definition of Γ s to an asymmetric 64 component tensor. Schrodinger [4] tried a non-symmetric metric tensor ($g_{ij} \neq g_{ji}$) and used the Palatini variational principle to define Γ s, which in turn defined a generalized Riemann tensor $R_{jkl}^i(\Gamma)$ per eq. (2). In the 1950s, there were attempts to view the geometric Riemann tensor $R_{ijkl}(g_{ij})$ as the tensor of matter - a so called "already unified" theory ([5], [6]). In the early 1920s, Kaluza [7] offered a theory of unification of gravitation and electromagnetism based on a 5-dimensional space. Kaluza's idea of using multiple dimensions as a basis for the unified theory still dominates theoretical physics today.

These are just few examples [8], [9] of the attempts of the geometrization of physics. It would not be much of an exaggeration to say that all geometrization theories have met their share of difficulties.

One of the reasons for this lies in the desire to yield the Einstein equation of GR. Even though it is universally accepted, GR has its mathematical, physical and philosophical problems. The most important one is the problem of vacuum. From a philosophical point of view, one would like to think that in the real physical world space is a manifestation of matter and cannot exist without matter - Mach principle [10], [11].

However, GR, as well as Newton's theory, allows for the existence of space without any matter in it. Interestingly enough, Newton himself did not believe in the idea of vacuum: "That one body may act upon another at a distance through a vacuum without the mediation of anything else ... is to me so great an absurdity that I believe that no man, who has in philosophical matters a competent faculty for thinking, can ever fall into it." (Dicke quoting Newton, [10])

This difficulty has lead to multiple attempts to add a matter field to GR. The most referenced theory belongs to Brans-Dicke [11], where gravitational matter is described by the scalar field ϕ . The equations are derived from the Lagrangian $L(g_{ij}, \phi, \phi_{,j})$. Again, the Lagrangian is chosen to fit the Einstein equation: $R_{ij} - 1/2 R g_{ij} = T_{ij}(\phi, \phi_{,j}, g_{ij})$. Unfortunately, this theory was not successful in explaining the experimental facts of GR [12].

Another difficulty of Einstein's General Relativity is the absence of the law of conservation. Einstein's equation $R_{ij} - 1/2 R g_{ij} = k T_{ij}$ leads to $T_{i;j}^j = 0$, which is viewed by most as an absolutely necessary law of physics. And yet, as it is well known, it does not represent conservation of any particular parameter or value - at least from the point of view of tensor analysis. This fact forced Einstein to introduce the pseudo-tensor of energy-momentum, which has been strongly criticized [13].

This paper attempts to put forward a theory that would be free of these difficulties. The theory considers a more traditional, non-geometric approach for the description of matter and space. This approach is a natural extension of the well established "flat space" Lagrangianian field theory, of which Maxwell's electromagnetic theory is only one example. Instead of the geometrization of matter, this article proposes an approach that could be viewed as the materialization of space. The proposed theory contains covariant formalism and curved space, both of which are essential features of GR. However, it places much more emphasis on Mach's principle, in which space can not exist without matter. This theory defines gravitational matter and shows that it is essential for the formation of space. It gives an alternative to Einstein's formulation for the laws that govern curved space which are capable of explaining the experimental results of Einstein's GR. The theory also includes Maxwell's theory with an interesting modification for curved space.

Theory

As in GR we accept Einstein's "covariant" postulate. In other words, we assume that the description of matter should not depend on a system of coordinates. In mathematical terms it means that the theory must be expressed in terms of tensor analysis. We also postulate that matter can be described by a 3-index tensor P_{jk}^i without any additional assumptions on the symmetry of P_{jk}^i with respect to the lower indices. The tensor P_{jk}^i serves as a potential for the tensor of matter. In order to describe the change of the tensor-potential with respect to coordinates, we must introduce a covariant derivative - and for that we need the metric tensor g_{ij} . Since P_{jk}^i are the only variables available to us, the metric g_{ij} then should be defined by means of P_{jk}^i only. The only way we can construct g_{ij} out of P_{jk}^i is to postulate that the metric of space, g_{ij} , can be expressed in terms of the tensor potential by a quadratic algebraic relationship. The most general quadratic equation has this form:

$$g_{ij} = b_1 \bar{P}_{ni}^m \bar{P}_{mj}^n + b_2 \hat{P}_{in}^m \hat{P}_{jm}^n + b_3 (\bar{P}_{in}^m \hat{P}_{mj}^n + \bar{P}_{jn}^m \hat{P}_{mi}^n) + b_4 \bar{P}_m \bar{P}_{ij}^m + b_5 \hat{P}_m \hat{P}_{ij}^m + b_6 \bar{P}_i \bar{P}_j + b_7 \hat{P}_i \hat{P}_j + b_8 (\bar{P}_i \hat{P}_j + \bar{P}_j \hat{P}_i) \quad (3)$$

In the above equation, $\bar{P}$ and $\hat{P}$ are the symmetrical and anti-symmetrical (low indices) parts of the tensor P_{jk}^i , respectively:

$$\bar{P}_{jk}^i = (P_{jk}^i + P_{kj}^i)/2, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{P}_{jk}^i = (P_{jk}^i - P_{kj}^i)/2 \quad (4)$$

$$\bar{P}_i = \bar{P}_{ki}^k, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{P}_i = \hat{P}_{ki}^k. \quad (5)$$

We must require that the metric tensor g_{ij} has its inverse g^{ij} . Directly from this and from (3) we have these important results: a) the metric is a function of matter (P_{jk}^i); the metric cannot exist without matter; b) nowhere can the tensor potential P_{jk}^i be equal to zero - matter cannot disappear entirely; c) if P_{jk}^i can be considered constant in some region, its associated space is flat ($g_{ij} = \text{constant}$). From the latter it follows that the reason we live in an almost flat space is because

the gravitational matter (P_{jk}^i) associated with a distant massive object (e.g. galaxy), can be considered constant within the solar system.

Another attractive feature of this approach is that the definition of the metric tensor g_{ij} allows a natural approach for a perturbation theory. A small deviation on matter (P_{jk}^i) leads to a small deviation in the space metrics.

We will address the issue of defining the constants $b_1, \dots, b_8$ in the sections below when we discuss particular matters such as gravitation and electromagnetism. However, regarding the constants, one point has to be mentioned at this time: once they are chosen, the metric is defined in its absolute value. In Einstein's GR, the metric tensor g_{ij} is only defined up to a constant factor. This factor is chosen under the condition that at infinity the metric g_{ij} is the Minkowski metric: $g_{ij} = (1, -1, -1, -1)$. Unlike in GR, in this theory, the "bigger" the matter (P_{jk}^i), the "bigger" the metric tensor g_{ij} .

Both P_{jk}^i and g_{ij} are defined in a 4-pseudoRiemann space with g_{ij} having a Minkowski signature (+ - - -). The tensor of matter M_{jkl}^i can then be defined in the same spirit as the Riemann tensor with respect to the Γ s. In other words, the tensor of matter will have covariant derivatives of P_{jk}^i (or in short P') and terms of the square of P_{jk}^i (or in short P^2):

$$M = \Sigma(cP' + \bar{c}P^2) \quad (6)$$

Here, Σ stands for the sum of terms with respect to some index permutations and $c, \bar{c}$ are sets of dimensionless constants. This form is dictated by the scaling factors of P_{jk}^i and M_{jkl}^i : P_{jk}^i is cm^{-1} and M_{jkl}^i cm^{-2} . Examples of such a tensor M could be:

$$\begin{aligned} a) M_{jkl}^i &= P_{jk;l}^i \\ b) M_{jkl}^i &= 3P_{jk;l}^i + P_{mk}^i P_{jl}^m \\ c) M_{jkl}^i &= P_{jl;k}^i - P_{jk;l}^i + P_{mk}^i P_{jl}^m - P_{ml}^i P_{jk}^m \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The last expression is similar in form to the Riemann tensor with respect to Γ s. It is important to note that the tensor M does not include

the geometric Riemann tensor $R_{jkl}^i(g_{ij})$, which only depends on the metric tensor g_{ij} . This can be justified by the fact that the Lagrangian should depend only on first derivatives of independent variables. The Riemann tensor (R_{jkl}^i), on the other hand, depends on second derivatives of g_{ij} and thus on second derivatives of P_{jk}^i .

The equation for the distribution of matter is obtained from the variational principle. The Lagrangian here depends on tensors M_{jkl}^i and g_{ij} , which both are functions of the tensor potential P_{jk}^i only.

$$A = \int L(M_{jkl}^i, g_{ij}) \sqrt{g} d^4x \quad (8)$$

where $g = -\det(g_{ij})$.

By analogy with other flat space field theories, we will assume that the Lagrangian is quadratic with respect to the matter tensor M. Because the Lagrangian L is a function of P_{jk}^i through the square of the matter tensor M, it can include only three types of terms: a) the square of the derivative of P ($L = P'^2$), b) the derivative of P (P') and the square of P ($L = P'P^2$) and c) the 4th power of P, ($L = P^4$). We shall reasonably assume that the reverse is also true: if we have a Lagrangian that has only terms of type a), b), and c), we can always create a tensor of matter (M_{jkl}^i) in such a way that the Lagrangian can be written as a square function of tensor M (and g_{ij} , of course). Thus, analyzing different forms of the Lagrangian, we will only be concerned with the fact that its terms satisfy one of the three above mentioned requirements.

We can introduce Lagrange coefficients (or Lagrange multipliers) T^{ij} , and consider g_{ij} , P_{jk}^i and T^{ij} as independent variables. In this case the action integral A can be written as:

$$A = \int \sqrt{g} d^4x \{L(P_{jk;l}^i, P_{jk}^i, g_{ij}) + T^{ij}[g_{ij} - Q_{ij}(P_{jk}^i)]\} \quad (9)$$

Here, $Q_{ij}(P_{jk}^i)$ is a quadratic function given by eq.(3). The variational principle will yield these three sets of equations (Appendix

A):

$$\frac{\delta A}{\delta P_{jk}^i} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \partial L / \partial P_{jk}^i - (\partial L / \partial P_{jk;l}^i)_{;l} - T^{mn} [\partial Q_{mn} / \partial P_{jk}^i] = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\delta A}{\delta g_{ij}} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{ij}} + \frac{1}{2} L g^{ij} + \frac{1}{4} [-J^{ijs} - J^{jis} + J^{sij}]_{;s} + T^{ij} = 0 \quad (11)$$

In equation (11), we introduced the tensor J^{ijs} which is defined by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} J^{ijs} &= g^{sr} [(L_r^{mni} P_{mn}^j - L_m^{jni} P_{rn}^m - L_m^{nji} P_{rn}^m) \\ &+ (L_s^{mnj} P_{mn}^i - L_m^{inj} P_{sn}^m - L_m^{nij} P_{sn}^m)] \\ L_i^{jkl} &= \partial L / \partial P_{jk;l}^i \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\delta A}{\delta T^{ij}} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad g_{ij} - Q_{ij}(P_{jk}^i) = 0 \quad (13)$$

Obviously, the set of Lagrange multipliers T^{ij} , defined by eq. (11), is the energy-momentum tensor of matter. It is interesting to know if the four Noether identities derived from the 2nd Noether theorem would lead to the expression $T^{ij}_{;j} = 0$.

Let us now discuss a situation of "small" matter in a field of "large" matter. An example here could be an electron in the field of Earth or even our own galaxy. The tensor of the potential P now can be written as a superposition of P_o and p of "large" matter and "small" matter, respectively: $P = P_o + p$ ($p \ll P_o$). The metric tensor g_{ij} , based on eq. (3), can be written as $g_{ij} = g_{oij} + \bar{g}_{ij}(P_o, p)$, ($\bar{g}_{ij} \ll g_{oij}$). Because P_o and g_o are fields of the "large" matter, their change on the scale of the "small" matter can be ignored. In this case, the background potential P_o can be considered a constant and the space g_o is flat.

We now can apply a perturbation method to the Lagrangian leaving only linear and quadratic terms with respect to p_{jk}^i and $\bar{g}_{ij}$. The linear terms are responsible for the decay of the "small" matter through interaction with the "large" matter. The quadratic terms describe the state of "small" matter in the flat space. These terms include constants

P_{ojk}^i and the Minkowski metric (1, -1, -1, -1). P_{ojk}^i now serves as a set of parameters of the "small" matter (e.g. mass, charge, etc.). Thus, the values of the background field of the "large" matter determine the parameters of the "small" matter. In other words, the "large" matter gives the basis and scale for the values of the "small" matter. The matter tensor M expressed by equation (6) in the case of the "small" matter perturbation can be written in this symbolic form: $M = M_o + p\prime + P_o p$. What follows from this is the realization that the characteristic length of the "small" matter p is $\approx 1/P_o$. If we think that the characteristic length of an elementary particle (e.g. proton) is about $10^{-13}cm$, then P_o is about $10^{13}cm^{-1}$. The stronger the background field is (larger P_o), the smaller the "elementary" particle.

The linearized theory of "small" matter can also be viewed as a transition to quantum field theory. It is not obvious if the linearized quadratic Lagrangian is an accurate description of the P-potential of elementary particles at a distance much less than $1/P_o$. This inaccuracy might present mathematical problems similar to those of contemporary quantum mechanics.

Law of Conservation of Matter

In the covariant description of physics, the law of conservation must be written in a form where the divergence of a vector is zero ($J_{;k}^k = 0$). This leads directly to a mathematical fact that the total flux of a vector J_k through any closed hyper-surface of 4D space is zero [12], [16],[17]. We will now show that the equations of motion (or equations for P_{jk}^i) contain the covariant law of conservation, which we will call the law of conservation of matter. This law comes directly from the equation (11) by contracting its indices.

$$g_{ij} \frac{\delta A}{\delta g_{ij}} = 0 \quad \text{or}$$

$$g_{ij} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{ij}} + \frac{1}{2} L g^{ij} \right] + \frac{1}{4} g^{ij} [-J^{ijs} - J^{jis} + J^{sij}]_{;s} + T = 0, \quad (14)$$

where

$$J^{ijs} = g^{sr} [(L_r^{mni} P_{mn}^j - L_m^{jni} P_{rn}^m - L_m^{nji} P_{rn}^m) \\ + (L_s^{mnj} P_{mn}^i - L_m^{inj} P_{sn}^m - L_m^{nij} P_{sn}^m)] \\ L_i^{jkl} = \partial L / \partial P_{jk;l}^i$$

The expression in the first square bracket of eq. (14) vanishes due to the fact that L is quadratic with respect to M_{jkl}^i (or g^{ij}). The remaining part of this equation can be written in the form:

$$J^s_{;s} + T = 0 \\ J^s = g_{ij} g^{sr} [(L_r^{mni} P_{mn}^j - L_m^{jni} P_{rn}^m - L_m^{nji} P_{rn}^m) + \\ (L_s^{mnj} P_{mn}^i - L_m^{inj} P_{sn}^m - L_m^{nij} P_{sn}^m)] \\ L_i^{jkl} = \partial L / \partial P_{jk;l}^i \quad (15)$$

If $T = 0$, the expression (15) represents the law of conservation. Thus, we will postulate that for any physically correct Lagrangian this must be the case.

Due to the fact that any Lagrangian has a gauge of a coordinate transformation, which leads to the four Noether identities, it is possible that the equations (10 thru 13) do not form a complete set. If this is the case, the equation $T = 0$ can be added as an additional physical postulate. Another way to assure that $T = 0$ is to consider a Lagrangian that satisfies a certain $U(1)$ gauge. This reduces the number of equations by one, thus allowing the addition of one more equation of $T = 0$ to complete the set.

Physical Identification of P_{jk}^i

We can now address the question of what physical meaning should be assigned to the tensor P_{jk}^i (e.g. gravitation, electromagnetism, etc.). We will make this identification based on the symmetry of the tensor potential P_{ijk} , obtained from P_{jk}^i by lowering its first index. If we accept the assumption that P_{ijk} has no preset symmetry, then P_{ijk} can be written in the following form (Appendix B):

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ijk} = & [G_{ijk} + 1/6(G_i g_{jk} + G_k g_{ij} + G_j g_{ki})] + \\ & + [(B_{jki} + B_{kji}) + 1/3(B_j g_{ik} + B_k g_{ij} - 2B_i g_{jk})] \\ & + [C_{ijk} + 1/3(C_k g_{ij} - C_j g_{ik})] + 1/6 \epsilon_{ijkm} E_m \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In the above expression, ϵ_{ijkl} is a fully anti-symmetrical tensor of Levi-Civita.

Here, we have introduced four new vectors (G_i , B_i , C_i , E_i) and three new tensors (G_{ijk} , B_{ijk} , C_{ijk}). The tensor G_{ijk} is fully symmetrical, and its trace is equal to zero $g^{km} G_{ikm} = 0$; thus, it has 16 independent components. B_{ijk} is anti-symmetrical in the 2nd and 3rd indices and it is trace free: $g^{ij} B_{ijk} = 0$. This tensor satisfies one more condition: $\epsilon^{ijkm} B_{ijk} = 0$ (Appendix B). From here it follows that B_{ijk} also has 16 independent components. C_{ijk} is also anti-symmetrical in the 2nd and 3rd indices and has the same property as B_{ijk} . Namely, $g^{ij} C_{ijk} = 0$, $\epsilon^{ijkm} C_{ijk} = 0$ and thus it has 16 independent components as well.

The representation given by expression (16) is reversible. We can express every vector G_i , B_i , C_i , and E_i as well as tensors G_{ijk} , B_{ijk} and C_{ijk} through the original tensor potential P_{ijk} and the metric tensor g_{ij} (Appendix B).

Due to its reversibility, we can formulate the theory in terms of these eight new sets of variables (G_{ijk} , B_{ijk} , C_{ijk} , G_i , B_i , C_i , E_i , g_{ij}) with some care paid to the derivation of eq. (11) to be sure that the law of conservation of matter is maintained. Five more Lagrange coefficients (or Lagrange multipliers) must be added to the Lagrangian to reflect the traceless properties of G_{ijk} , B_{ijk} , C_{ijk} tensors.

Gravitation

The simplest element in expression (16) is a vector and we will identify one of the four vectors with gravitational matter. It is not difficult to show that matter described by the vector E_i (or fully anti-symmetrical P_{ijk}) cannot form space. If $P_{jk}^i = 1/6\epsilon_{jkm}^i E^m$, then the expression for g_{ij} (3) has only one term:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &= b_2/36\hat{P}_{nik}^m\hat{P}_{mjk}^n = b_2/36\epsilon_{njk}^m\epsilon_{mil}^n E^k E^l \\ &= b_2/18[g_{ij}(E^k E_k) - E_i E_j]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

It follows from eq. (17) that g_{ij} , at any point, has a degenerate form with its determinant equal to zero: $\det(g_{ij}) = 0$.

Similarly, vector C_i cannot form space by itself. In this case, matter is described only by vector C_i and metric g_{ij} :

$$P_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{3}(C_k g_{jm} - C_j g_{mk})g^{im} \quad (18)$$

The expression for g_{ij} then takes this form:

$$g_{ij} = b_2\hat{P}_{ni}^m\hat{P}_{mj}^n + b_7\hat{P}_i\hat{P}_j = (b_2/3 + b_7)C_i C_j \quad (19)$$

This obviously does not satisfy the condition: $\det(g_{ij}) \neq 0$. This leaves us with only two possibilities, G_i and B_i . The vector G_i appears to be a more appropriate candidate. As we will see later, by choosing constants b_1 , b_4 and b_6 in such a way that field G_i can form space, we simultaneously forbid vector B_i to exist by itself.

If we choose G_i as a vector representing gravitational matter, the tensor potential of the pure gravitational field should only be expressed through vector G_i and metric g_{ij} .

$$P_{ijk} = (G_i g_{jk} + G_j g_{ik} + G_k g_{ij})/6 \quad (20)$$

The expression for g_{ij} , eq. (3), takes the form of:

$$g_{ij} = b_1\bar{P}_{ni}^m\bar{P}_{mj}^n + b_4\bar{P}_m\bar{P}_{ij}^m + b_6\bar{P}_i\bar{P}_j \quad (21)$$

Substituting (20) in (21) we get:

$$g_{ij} = (2b_1/36 + b_4/6)(G_k G^k)g_{ij} + 1/36(10b_1 + 12b_4 + 36b_6)G_i G_j \quad (22)$$

In order for g_{ij} to be non-degenerate, the last term containing $G_i G_j$ must vanish, thus requiring that b_1 , b_4 and b_6 satisfy the condition:

$$(10b_1 + 12b_4 + 36b_6) = 0 \quad (23)$$

This is only one equation for the three unknown b_1 , b_4 and b_6 . However, there are other considerations that put additional restrictions on the choice of b_1 , b_4 and b_6 coefficients. These restrictions occur because the above representation of the fully symmetrical tensor P_{ijk} through vector G_i is not complete. The most general expression can be written as:

$$P_{ijk} = g_{im} P_{jk}^m = (G_i g_{jk} + G_j g_{ik} + G_k g_{ij} + k_0 G_i G_j G_k) / (6 + k_1) \quad (24)$$

where $k_1 = k_0 G^k G_k$.

Substituting (24) in (21), we get this expression for g_{ij} :

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} = & b_1 / (6 + k_1)^2 [(G_i g_{mn} + G_m g_{in} + G_n g_{im} + k_0 G_i G_m G_n) \\ & (G_j g_{kl} + G_k g_{il} + G_l g_{ik} + k_0 G_i G_k G_l) g^{mk} g^{nl}] + \\ & b_4 / (6 + k_1) G_n (G_i g_{jm} + G_j g_{im} + G_m g_{ij} + k_0 G_i G_j G_m) g^{mn} + b_6 G_i G_j \\ = & [2b_1 / (6 + k_1)^2 + b_4 / (6 + k_1)] G^m G_m g_{ij} + \\ & [k_1^2 (b_1 + b_4 + b_6) + k_1 (6b_1 + 8b_4 + 12b_6) + (10b_1 + 12b_4 + 36a_6)] G_i G_j \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

In order for g_{ij} to satisfy this equation and to satisfy the requirement $\det(g) \neq 0$, the term in the square bracket in front of $G_i G_j$ should be zero and the factor in front of g_{ij} on the right hand side should be one.

$$[2b_1 / (6 + k_1)^2 g_{ij} + b_4 / (6 + k_1)] G^m G_m = 1 \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & k_1^2 (b_1 + b_4 + b_6) + k_1 (6b_1 + 8b_4 + 12b_6) \\ & + (10b_1 + 12b_4 + 36b_6) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Eq. (27) is a quadratic equation with respect to k_1 . Thus, for any given b_1, b_4, b_6 we can find two independent values for k_1 (and subsequently k_0), which would correspond to two independent gravitational fields. The possibility of having two gravitational fields is obviously

unacceptable. Thus, b_1, b_4, b_6 should be such that they assure a single solution in which $k_1 = 0$. From eq. (26), it follows that $G^m G_m$ is a constant. We can always require that this constant is equal to one: $G^m G_m = 1$.

There are two sets of b_1, b_4, b_6 that satisfy the above requirements: a) $b_1 = -18, b_4 = 12, b_6 = 1$ and b) $b_1 = -8, b_4 = 26/3, b_6 = -2/3$. The first solution is more acceptable from an aesthetic point of view.

It is interesting to note that in the case of $(b_1, b_4, b_6) = (-18, 12, 1)$, it can be shown that if matter (tensor potential P_{ijk}) consists only of the gravitational tensor G_i and the fully symmetrical tensor G_{ijk} , eq. (3) demands that $G_{ijk} = 0$.

If we are to look for a Lagrangian L that describes a pure gravitational field, we have to consider it to be a function only of the gravitational field G_i and the metric g_{ij} .

$$A = \int dx^4 \sqrt{g} [k_1 G^{k;l} G_{k;l} + k_2 G^{l;k} G_{k;l} + k_3 (G^k{}_{;k})^2 + k_4 G^k{}_{;k} G^l G_l + T(G^k G_k - 1)] \quad (28)$$

Here we limit the Lagrangian to only four types of terms as described above in eq. (7a, b, c). We omitted the term $(G^k G_k)^4$ to assure that $G_i = \text{constant}$ is a solution.

In the last ten years, a significant number of papers were written where a similar Lagrangian was considered ([14], [15]). The focus of these papers was the "violation of the Lorentz invariance", which was achieved by adding, in addition to a space metric, a vector field representing gravitational matter and its constraint ($G^k G_k = 1$). If the addition of a vector field as gravitational matter is prompted from physical considerations, the addition of the constraint ($G^k G_k = 1$), however, is done without any physical or mathematical justification.

In contrast, in our theory, the gravitational vector G_i and its constraint ($G^k G_k = 1$) are consequences of the simplified form of P_{jk}^i when it represents only the gravitational field (G_i, g_{ij}).

With three independent variables (the gravitational field G_i ,

the metric g_{ij} and the Lagrange multiplier T), the set of equations can be written in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial A / \partial G_i &= 0 \text{ or} \\ -k_1 G_{i;k};^k - k_2 G_{k;i};^k - k_3 G_{k;};^k_i + k_4 G_{k;};^k G_i + T G_i &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial A / \partial g_{ij} &= 0 \text{ or} \\ k_1 [-G^k_{;i} G_{k;j} - G_{i;k} G_{j;};^k + 1/2 g_{ij} G^m_{;n} G_{m;};^n] + \\ k_2 [-G^k_{;i} G_{j;k} - G^k_{;j} G_{i;k} + 1/2 g_{ij} G^m_{;n} G^n_{;m}] + \\ k_3 [-G^k_{;k} (G_{i;j} + G_{j;i}) + 1/2 g_{ij} (G^k_{;k})^2] + \\ k_4 [-G_i G_j G^k_{;k} + 1/2 g_{ij} G^k_{;k}] + \\ \frac{(k_1 + k_2)}{2} [(G_{i;k} + G_{k;i}) G_j + (G_{j;k} + G_{k;j}) G_i - (G_{i;j} + G_{j;i}) G_k];^k + \\ k_3 [(G^k_{;k} G_i)_{;j} + (G^k_{;k} G_j)_{;i} - g_{ij} (G^k_{;k} G^m_{;m})_{;m}] + \\ + k_4 / 2 [G_{i;j} + G_{j;i} - g_{ij} G^k_{;k}] - T G_i G_j &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\partial A / \partial T = 0 \text{ or } G^k G_k = 1 \quad (31)$$

An additional condition is taken as a postulate:

$$T = 0 \quad (32)$$

Can a Lagrangian be identified (constants k_1 , k_2 , k_3 and k_4) in such a way that the solution for g_{ij} is equal to the Schwarzschild solution, or at least so at large distances (first order of $1/r$)?

Let us consider a particular case, when $k_1 = 0$, $k_2 = 1$, $k_3 = -1$ and $k_4 = 0$. In this case the Lagrangian has this form:

$$L = (G_{i;j} G_{l;k} - G_{i;k} G_{j;l}) g^{ik} g^{jl} + T (G^k G_k - 1) \quad (33)$$

A variation of action A ($A = \int L(G_i, g_{ij}) \sqrt{g} d^4 x$) by G_i leads to this equation:

$$-g^{km} (G_{k;i;m} - G_{k;m;i}) + T G_k = -R_{im} G^m + T G_i = -R_{im} G_m = 0 \quad (34)$$

Here, R_{im} is the Ricci tensor and we have used the postulate $T=0$. The second set of equations is obtained through a variation by g_{ij} and has the following expression (Appendix C):

$$\begin{aligned}
& -G_{i;m}G^m{}_{;j} - G_{j;m}G^m{}_{;i} + \frac{g_{ij}}{2}G_{k;m}G^{m;k} + \\
& + (G_{i;j} + G_{j;i})G^m{}_{;m} - \frac{g_{ij}}{2}(G^m{}_{;m})^2 + \\
& + \frac{1}{2}[(G_{i;s} + G_{s;i})G_j + (G_{j;s} + G_{s;j})G_i - (G_{i;j} + G_{j;i})G_s]_{;s} - \\
& - G^m{}_{;m}(G_{i;j} + G_{j;i}) + (G^m{}_{;m}G_s)_{;s}g_{ij} - Tg_{ij} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Contracting eq. (35), and remembering that $T=0$, we will get the law of conservation of gravitational matter:

$$J_{k;{}^k} = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad J_k = G_{m;k}G^m + G_{k;m}G^m + G_kG^m{}_{;m} \tag{36}$$

Since all equations for G_i and g_{ij} include only covariant derivatives, the constant G_i and the constant g_{ij} are the solutions of eqs. (34, 35). Metric g_{ij} , by choice of a system of coordinates, can be made to have a Minkowski form, $g_{ij} = (1, -1, -1, -1)$, at any point. Vector G_i should be a time vector due to the constraint $G_kG^k = 1$.

Let us consider a stationary (time independent) spherical symmetrical solution that at infinity becomes a constant, as described in the paragraph above.

In this case, the vector G_i has only two components: G_0 (G_t) and G_1 (G_r), which are both functions of only the distance "r". From textbooks it is well known that R_{ij} (Ricci tensor) has three non-zero components: R_{00} (R_{tt}), R_{11} (R_{rr}) and R_{22} ($R_{\theta\theta}$) = R_{33} ($R_{\phi\phi}$) ([12],[16],[17]).

The vector equation (34) ($R_{im}G^m = 0$) then can be written as two independent equations:

$$R_{00}G_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R_{11}G_1 = 0 \tag{37}$$

From this it follows that $R_{00} = R_{11} = 0$. For a stationary spherical solution it can be shown that these two equations are equivalent to $R_{ij} = 0$ ([16], p. 274). Thus, the g_{ij} in our solution is the Schwarzschild metric.

In order to find the functions G_0 and G_1 , we will use two equations. The first one is the equation of constraint:

$$G_k G^k = 1 \text{ or } G_0 g^{00} + G_1 g^{11} = 1 \quad (38)$$

The second equation is the equation of the conservation of matter.

$$J^k_{;k} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (J_1 g^{11} \sqrt{g})_{,1} = 0 \quad (39)$$

From these two equations, we can obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= C_1/(r - r_o) \text{ and } G_0 = \sqrt{1 - r_o/r + C_1^2/r^2} \\ g_{00} &= (1 - r_o/r), \quad g_{11} = -1/g_{00} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

In the expression above, C_1 is a constant.

Let us notice, that from an experimental point of view, the two main verifications of GR - the deflection of light by the Sun and the shift of the perihelion of Mercury - are all derived from Einstein's vacuum equation. To be more precise, they are both derived from the Schwarzschild metric, $dG^2 = (1 - r_o/r)dt^2 - 1/(1 - r_o/r)dr^2 - R^2 d\Omega^2$. To satisfy both of these experimental facts, when matter is reduced to only gravitational matter (G_i, g_{ij}), our theory has to yield a Schwarzschild metric g_{ij} as the solution. As we have shown above (eq. 37), this is the case.

Just as in GR, we postulate that a "small" macroscopic solid body, as well as a ray of light, moves along geodesic lines of g_{ij} .

In the case of an arbitrary gravitational field $G_i(x^i)$, $g_{ij}(x^i)$, it is important to note that the equations (34, 35) do not look like Einstein's equations $R_{ij} = kT_{ij}$. However, Einstein's vacuum equation, $R_{ij} = 0$, is sufficient to satisfy the first one (34). We can not reject the possibility that $R_{ij} = 0$ is the only metric solution for eqs. (34, 35) for any "vacuum" problem (i.e. gravitational matter (G_i) and metric g_{ij} only).

When the constant C_1 approaches zero, we will have a simplified expression for vector field G_k : $G_0 = \sqrt{g_{00}}$ and $G_1 = 0$. As we can

see from this solution, the closer we get to the mass, the lower the gravitational matter becomes. In other words, the gravitational matter is replaced by "hard" matter. That also means that a gravitational field must exist independently of matter. So it appears that we are back to the ether theory. The ether in this case is the gravitational matter described by a vector field. The entire Universe is filled by the gravitational matter. Elementary particles are the depressions in gravitational matter or might even be true singularities.

We have to investigate the other vector Lagrangians to see if another choice of constants, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 in eq. (28), can lead to the same Schwarzschild solutions (at least at large distances), but do not lead to the ether theory. Such solutions should have G_0 that increases towards the center of the mass and be able to go to zero at infinity.

Electromagnetism

We now address the question of electromagnetism, which will be identified with the vector field E_i . As we showed earlier, the electromagnetic (EM) field by itself cannot form space. Let us consider a situation when we have gravitational and EM fields. Then, P_{jk}^i has this form:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{jk}^i &= g^{im} P_{mjk} \quad \text{and} \\ P_{ijk} &= 1/6(G_i g_{jk} + G_j g_{ik} + G_k g_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijkm} E^m) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Substituting expression (41) into (3) and taking (b_1, b_4, b_6) as $(-18, 12, 1)$ we get the following relation:

$$g_{ij} = (G^k G_k) g_{ij} + b_2 (g_{ij} - E_i E_j) \quad (42)$$

Eq. (42) does not satisfy the requirement $g = -\det(g_{ij}) \neq 0$ unless $b_2 = 0$.

Though the EM field is not explicitly present in eq. (3), it should not be taken to mean that the space metric does not depend on the EM field. The dependence of the space metric on the EM field exists through the equations of motion which are derived from the Lagrangian.

In the case of only gravitational G_i and EM field E_i , the constraint on the magnitude of the gravitational field remains the same: $G^k G_k = 1$. In this case, both the metric g_{jk} and the gravitation vector G_i are influenced by the presence of the EM field in accordance with the equations of motion.

Since the constant b_2 in equation (42) is zero, it can be assumed that the metric tensor g_{ij} depends only on the symmetrical, in low indices, components of the tensor potential P_{jk}^i . In this case, the metric g_{ij} as a function of P_{jk}^i is fully defined by the expression:

$$g_{ij} = -18\bar{P}_{ni}^m \bar{P}_{mj}^n + 12\bar{P}_m \bar{P}_{ij}^m + \bar{P}_i \bar{P}_j \quad (43)$$

Here $\bar{P}$ is the symmetrical part of the tensor P_{jk}^i : $\bar{P}_{jk}^i = (P_{jk}^i + P_{kj}^i)/2$

The Lagrangian of the EM field should be similar to that of Maxwell's theory in flat space:

$$L_{em} = E_{i;j} E_{m;n} g^{im} g^{jn} \quad (44)$$

In eq. (44), $E_{ij} = E_{i;j} - E_{j;i}$.

As we mentioned above, for the EM field to exist it needs another field - a gravitational field. Thus, writing the Lagrangian for the EM field, we MUST include the gravitational field as well. The total Lagrangian then can be written as a sum of three Lagrangians: L_{em} - Maxwell, L_g - gravitational (see section above) and L_{g-em} - electromagnetic-gravitational interaction.

$$L = L_{em}(E_{ij}, g_{ij}) + L_g(G_i, g_{ij}) + L_{g-em}(E_i, G_i, g_{ij}) \quad (45)$$

Based on expressions (6) and (8), L_{g-em} could contain linear terms with respect to $E_{i;j}$ or quadratic terms with respect to E_i . It is not difficult to show that the linear term (only one is possible) vanishes. Indeed, the action integral A with the linear term has this form:

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4 \sqrt{g} G_{i;j} E_{k;l} \epsilon^{ijkl} &= - \int d^4 \sqrt{g} G_{i;j;l} E_k \epsilon^{ijkl} = \\ &- \int d^4 \sqrt{g} R_{jlis} G^s \epsilon^{ijkl} E_k = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Above, we have used the Bianchi identity for the Riemann tensor. The quadratic terms with respect to E_i amount to only four possibilities:

$$L_{g-em} = k_1(G_{i;j}E^iE^j - G_k{}^k E_jE^j) + k_2G^iG_iE^jE_j + k_3(G^iE_i)^2 \quad (47)$$

k_1 , k_2 , and k_3 are dimensionless constants. The presence of the last two terms is equivalent to the statement that a photon has a very large mass proportional to the square of the external gravitational potential. This is a fact not supported by experimental data. Therefore, we will ignore the terms with k_2 and k_3 .

The total sets of equations can now be obtained through variation of the Lagrangian with respect to the gravitational field G_i , electromagnetic field E_i and metric g_{ij} . The Maxwell equation will be modified by adding electromagnetic-gravitational interaction:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial E_i} = 0 \quad or \quad E_i{}^j{}_{;j} = k_1[E^m(G_{i;m} + G_{m;i}) - E^iG_{m;m}] \quad (48)$$

Strictly speaking EM-gravitational interaction still exists even if we omit the L_{g-em} term from expression (45). This implicit interaction is through the space metric g_{ij} . The metric g_{ij} and the gravitational matter G_i must adjust themselves to satisfy the equations of motion. However, it seems fitting to accept the explicit form of EM-gravitational interaction ($k_1 \neq 0$). In this case, a photon has a mass that is proportional to the curvature of space or non-uniformity of the gravitational field G_i . The important consequence of this interaction is that light emitted by a star will slowly give up its energy to the gravitational field as it travels through space which manifests itself in the reduction of light frequency. The longer the distance the light travels, the more frequency shift it will produce. That is the reason why we see far removed stars (and galaxies) "moving" away from us. The farther they are, the "faster" (it appears) they move. This conclusion is solely based on the shift in the frequency of light due to the electromagnetic-gravitational interaction.

These considerations about electromagnetic-gravitational interactions strongly contradict the present theory of an "expanding" Universe which is utilized for explaining the red-shift of distant stars.

The same argument also leads to another conclusion: light is not only deflected by a massive star (Sun), but it also should change its frequency, when passing near it.

The dimensionless constant k_1 of this interaction can be estimated from the observation of light intensity (or distance from the star) vs. frequency shift.

If we assume that the Universe' space metric (x-y-z) is a closed 3-D sphere of radius R , then light might travel several times across the Universe, thereby creating in effect a noise-like background radiation. This should allow us to estimate the size of the Universe.

The Cosmological Problem

In our theory, the cosmological problem becomes a rather simple one. The (x-y-z) space should be a 3-dimensional sphere in flat 4-D Euclidian space. $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 = R(t)^2$, so that the metric can be expressed as:

$$g_{ij} = dt^2 + R(t)^2(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi d\theta^2 + \sin^2\chi \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \quad (49)$$

The gravitational vector G_i must have only a "t" (or G_0) component and the condition $G_k G^k = 1$ leads to $G_0 = 1, G_\chi = G_\theta = G_\phi = 0$. In this case, it is not difficult to show that $R(t) = R_0 = \text{constant}$ satisfies all the equations (29, 30, 31).

Thus, the picture of the world is a static Universe filled with gravitational matter except for some areas where the gravitational matter is replaced by other forms of matter (hard particles). Of course, one can ask the question: why did the perfectly uniform gravitational matter become disturbed? And, what motivated this disturbance? Perhaps there is more than one Universe that can actually touch or even collide with each other causing nonuniformity or creating hard matter. One might think of it as a water drop in weightless space colliding with another such drop.

Other Forms of Matter

Above we discussed two vector fields that could be identified with gravitational and electromagnetic matters. So what is the meaning of the other fields, B_i and C_i ? And what is the meaning of tensor fields G_{ijk} , E_{ijk} and T_{ijk} ? It seems that the latter ones should be identified with hard matter, such as neutrons, protons and electrons. It is tempting to identify these fields directly with elementary particles, or with their class - such as leptons and baryons. The justification for this comes from considering the electromagnetic interaction with other matters. Let us consider, in addition to gravitational matter (G_i), a system consisting of the EM field E_i and another field B_{ijk} . If we are interested in electromagnetic/hard-matter interaction, we can write the tensor of matter M symbolically as:

$$M = \Sigma(E' + B' + E^2 + B^2 + EB) \quad (50)$$

Above, E' represents a covariant derivative of E_i ($E_{i;j}$). Then, per eq. (6), the Lagrangian is proportional to the square of M and thus can be written symbolically as:

$$L = L_{em}(E') + L_{mat}(B', B^2) + L_{em_int} \quad (51)$$

The interaction term L_{em_int} must be proportional to B^2 . This statement comes from the fact that when we write the system of equations for the electromagnetic field and the tensor B , the equations for the tensor B must vanish, in the case when no hard matter is present ($B = 0$). If the Lagrangian of hard-matter and the EM field has a term like $B'E'$, the equations for the tensor B when $B = 0$ yield 3-index equations of a type $E'' = 0$. That would mean that $E = 0$. Thus, we can write the following:

$$L_{em_int} = E'B^2 \quad (52)$$

We must remember that the vector E always comes together with the tensor Levi-Civita (ϵ_{ijkl}). An example of such Lagrangianian terms can

be:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{em_int} &= [B_{ijk}B_l^{ij} - B_{ijl}B_k^{ij}]\epsilon^{klmn}E_{m;n} = \\ &= -[B_{ijk}B_l^{ij} - B_{ijl}B_k^{ij}]_{;n}\epsilon^{klmn}E_m = X^{mn}_{;n}E_m \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Above, we have used the rule of variational analysis similar to the one of partial integration. We also introduced a tensor X_{mn} as

$$X^{mn} = -[B_{ijk}B_l^{ij} - B_{ijl}B_k^{ij}]\epsilon^{klmn} \quad (54)$$

Of course, $X^m = X^{mn}_{;n}$ serves as an electrical current associated with matter B_{ijk} .

It is interesting to note, that if we replace the tensor Levi-Civita with the imaginary unit ($i = \sqrt{-1}$), as it is sometimes done, the expression above looks very much like an expression for the electrical current in quantum mechanics.

The expression (54) for X^{mn} is one of several that could be derived from the symbolic expression (52). However, the expression (54) is the only one that has anti-symmetrical properties. In this case, the diversion of the vector X^m is zero ($X^m_{;m} = 0$), which comes directly from the anti-symmetry of the tensor X^{mn} and the definition of the current X^m ($X^m = X^{mn}_{;n}$).

Similar formulas and conclusions can be made for the tensor C_{ijk} . If we mark X^+_m as the EM current for the matter B_{ijk} and X^-_m as the current for the matter C_{ijk} , we must conclude that not only the total charge is conserved ($(X^+_i + X^-_i)^{;i} = 0$), but that each of their corresponding parts - X^+ and X^- - are also conserved. In other words, both electron (lepton) charge and proton (baryon) charge are conserved.

It is not difficult to see that both the expression (54), written for the fully symmetrical tensor G_{ijk} , and the corresponding electrical current will vanish due to the presence of the fully anti-symmetrical tensor Levi-Civita (ϵ_{ijkl}).

The above reasoning is the justification for our attempt to identify tensor G_{ijk} with the neutron and B_{ijk} , C_{ijk} with charged particles - the proton and electron. The choice of B_{ijk} for the proton is

based on the fact that both tensors, G_{ijk} and B_{ijk} , are derived from the same symmetrical in the low indices part of tensor P_{jk}^i .

By associating tensors G_{ijk} , B_{ijk} and C_{ijk} with elementary particles, we radically depart from the well established spinor representation. The hope here is that 16 independent functions in each tensor are capable of describing the experimentally observable effects of elementary particles that are presently described by 1/2-spinor.

The other terms that correspond to EM-hard-matter interaction can be symbolically written as $C^2 E^2$. It is not clear if such terms can be present in the Lagrangian. But if they can exist, the Maxwell equations will have an additional term(s) proportional to the vector potential (e.g. $E_i B_{klm} B^{klm}$).

We still have to address the nature of the other two vector fields, B_i and C_i . We showed before that a combination of only two vectors, G_i (gravitation) and B_i - in addition to the metric g_{ij} - cannot exist. The definition of the metric tensor g_{ij} , eq. (16), prevents this from occurring. In other words, the matter represented by the vector B_i cannot propagate through the space outside the immediate vicinity of hard matter. On the other hand, the vector C_i , has no such restrictions if we chose constants of eq. (16) to be (-18, 12, 1). By analogy with the electromagnetic field, it would be logical to assume that these vector fields would be the media, through which the hard particles, G_{ijk} , B_{ijk} , C_{ijk} , exchange their energy over the distance beyond their immediate reach. Could these fields be responsible for an electro-weak and nuclear interactions?

Unconstrained Metric

In the end, we have to mention another formulation of the theory for a tensor potential description of matter. In this formulation, we start a theory with the assumption that both P_{jk}^i and g_{ij} are independent variables of the theory. In this case, the Lagrangian multipliers T^{ij} in eq. (11) would not be present and the law of conservation would

be exact without any additional requirements. The law of conservation would be guaranteed by the fact that the Lagrangian is proportional to the square of the tensor M or g^{ij} . Also, we would have more freedom in choosing, among the four vectors G_i , B_i , C_i and E_i , the vector representing the gravitational field. For example, we could have chosen the vector E_i (or fully anti-symmetrical $P_{ijk} = (\epsilon_{ijkm}E^m)/6$) as a gravitational field. Everything that we said about gravitational fields and electromagnetic-gravitational interactions would hold true. Contrary to the case of the "constrained" theory described in this article, where matter defined by only two vectors G_i , B_i could not exist, there are no such restrictions in this unconstrained formulation. In fact, the basic vector fields G_i , B_i , C_i and E_i can be derived from P_{jk}^i differently to the way it has been done previously in this article. For example, the electromagnetic field could be defined as $E_i = P_{mi}^m$ and the gravitational field as $G^i = P_{jk}^i g^{jk}$.

The equations of motion in the case of a constant potential P_{jk}^i becomes algebraic with respect to P_{jk}^i and g_{ij} . If a solution exists then it corresponds to flat space.

The electromagnetic field still can not exist by itself if the Lagrangian has Maxwell's form.

Since the Lagrangian is proportional to at least the square of P_{jk}^i , the Mach principle still holds. This is due to the fact that in the absence of matter ($P_{jk}^i = 0$), all equations vanish and the metric tensor remains undetermined.

While the above mentioned factors of unconstrained theory should be viewed as advantages, there are drawbacks to this approach. First, we are walking away from a singular entity (P_{jk}^i) description of matter and space. Secondly, the metric field is defined only up to the constant factor. Thus, we can not say that a larger field corresponds to a larger space metric. Additional relations between a metric and a tensor potential have to be postulated to fix that problem.

Philosophically, having g_{ij} as an independent variable suggests that space is an independent entity. In other words, with an independent g_{ij} we gain significant freedom in describing matter, however, we

lose philosophical elegance. The latter factor can not outweigh the former, and the unconstrained theory can not be dismissed a priori without any investigation.

Conclusion

The theory proposed in this article is just a sketch, an idea, a thought. If there is any truth to such description of matter, it would require significant work to be done before the theory takes the shape of THE theory. This work should be done both in the field of mathematics and in the field of physics.

Mathematically, we have to prove that at least for some Lagrangians our postulate $T=0$ does not contradict the equations of motion (eqs. 10, 11, 12). What do the four Noether identities yield regarding the tensor energy-momentum T_{ij} ; do they lead to $T^{ij}{}_{;j} = 0$? Are the elementary particles (tensor S_{ijk} , C_{ijk} , B_{ijk}) true singularities or finite deviations of the background field? Do the equations that define the behavior of these tensors allow quantum states?

We need to derive Einsteins postulate that a "small" macroscopic body, as well as light, travels along geodesic lines of metric g_{ij} . And if the postulate is true (which it seems to be for celestial bodies), how "small" can the body be? Can it be as small as a molecule or an atom? Does it have to be electrically neutral and is it also true for ions? And what effect does the background gravitational field have on the motion of such bodies?

Physically, we have to identify the constants in the equation that defines the metric tensor g_{ij} (eq. 3). We have to answer the question of the background potential $Po^i{}_{jk}$. Is it built only out of the gravitational field or does it include some other fields? We have to definitively identify which two (out of four) vector fields correspond to gravitation and electromagnetism. As we mentioned before there are other possibilities (aside of G_i and E_i). For example, G_i and C_i . What is the meaning of the other two vector fields?

And most importantly, we have to identify the Lagrangian that describes well both macro physics (gravitation, electromagnetism) and micro physics (elementary particles and nuclear interactions).

Appendix A

In this appendix we give a full derivation of the equations of motion associated with the variation of the action A with respect to g_{ij} .

$$A = \int L(P_{jk;l}^i, P_{jk}^i, g_{ij}) \sqrt{g} d^4x \quad (55)$$

Above, g is a minus determinant of g_{ij} ($g = -\det(g_{ij})$).

The Lagrangian L depends on the tensor g_{ij} in two ways: a) algebraically, including the square root of the g term and b) through the covariant derivative of P_{jk}^i , by means of Christoffel symbols γ_{jk}^i .

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A &= \delta \int L(P_{jk;l}^i, P_{jk}^i, g_{ij}) \sqrt{g} d^4x = \int \delta(L\sqrt{g}) d^4x = \\ &= \int \sqrt{g} d^4x \left[\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{ij}} + 1/2 L g^{ij} \right) \delta g_{ij} + \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial P_{jk;l}^i} \right) \delta P_{jk;l}^i \right] \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Let us introduce the tensor $L_i^{jkl} = \partial L / \partial P_{jk;l}^i$. The last term in (56) then can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^4x \sqrt{g} L_i^{jkl} \delta P_{jk;l}^i = \\ &\int d^4x \sqrt{g} L_i^{jkl} \delta \left[\frac{\partial P_{jk}^i}{\partial x^l} + \gamma_{ls}^i P_{jk}^s - \gamma_{lj}^s P_{sk}^i - \gamma_{lk}^s P_{js}^i \right] = \\ &\int d^4x \sqrt{g} L_i^{jkl} P_{jk}^s \delta \gamma_{ls}^i - L_i^{jkl} P_{sk}^i \delta \gamma_{lj}^s - L_i^{jkl} P_{js}^i \delta \gamma_{lk}^s = \\ &\int d^4x \sqrt{g} [L_i^{mnk} P_{mn}^j - L_m^{jnk} P_{in}^m - L_m^{njk} P_{in}^m] \delta \gamma_{jk}^i = \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

To be compatible to the fact that the Christoffel symbols γ_{jk}^i are symmetrical by j, k , the last expression needs to be symmetrized by indices j, k .

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{2} [(L_i^{mnk} P_{mn}^j - L_m^{jnk} P_{in}^m - L_m^{nj k} P_{in}^m) \\
&\quad + (L_i^{mnj} P_{mn}^k - L_m^{knj} P_{in}^m - L_m^{nkj} P_{in}^m)] \delta \gamma_{jk}^i = \\
&\int d^4x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{2} J_i^{jk} \delta \gamma_{jk}^i
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

To shorten the formula, we have introduced a new symmetrical in low indices tensor J_i^{jk} for the terms in square brackets. We can now replace the Christoffel symbols with their expression through the matrix tensor g_{ij} . The variation of the Christoffel symbols consists of two terms: $\delta \gamma_{jk}^i = \delta(g^{is} \gamma_{sjk}) = \gamma_{sjk} \delta g^{si} + g^{is} \delta \gamma_{sjk}$. The first term ($\gamma_{sjk} \delta g^{si}$), which is proportional to the γ , can be omitted due to the fact that it must be set to zero when we replace all partial derivatives with the covariant ones. With that in mind, the expression above could be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^4x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{2} J_i^{jk} \delta \gamma_{jk}^i = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{4} J_i^{jk} \delta [g^{is} (g_{sj,k} + g_{sk,j} - g_{jk,s})] \\
&= \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{4} J_i^{jk} g^{is} (\delta g_{sj,k} + \delta g_{sk,j} - \delta g_{jk,s}) = \\
&\int d^4x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{4} [-(J_i^{jk} g^{is})_{,k} \delta g_{sj} - (J_i^{jk} g^{is})_{,j} \delta g_{sk} + (J_i^{jk} g^{is})_{,s} \delta g_{jk}]
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

In eq. (59), we have used the rule of variational analysis which is similar to the rule of partial integration. We now rename the indices in a such way that in the end we are going to have only one δg_{ij} term:

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{4} [-J^{ijs} - J^{jis} + J^{sij}]_{,s} \delta g_{sk} \tag{60}$$

Above, $J^{ijk} = g^{im} J_m^{jk}$.

Combining expressions (60) and (58), we can write the final

expression for the variation of the action A:

$$\delta A = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{ij}} + 1/2 L g^{ij} \right) + \frac{1}{4} (-J^{ijs} - J^{jis} + J^{sij})_{,s} \right] \delta g_{sk} \quad (61)$$

Thus, the equation of motion associated with the variation of the action A with respect to the g_{ij} will be:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{ij}} + \frac{1}{2} L g^{ij} + \frac{1}{4} [-J^{ijs} - J^{jis} + J^{sij}]_{,s} = 0 \quad (62)$$

$$J^{ijs} = g^{sr} [(L_r^{mni} P_{mn}^j - L_m^{jni} P_{rn}^m - L_m^{nji} P_{rn}^m) + (L_s^{mnj} P_{mn}^i - L_m^{inj} P_{sn}^m - L_m^{nij} P_{sn}^m)] \quad (63)$$

$$L_i^{jkl} = \partial L / \partial P_{jk;l}^i \quad (64)$$

Appendix B

In this appendix we will give a detailed expression of the tensor potential P_{ijk} through simple tensorial quantities. First, we can separate P_{ijk} into fully symmetrical G_{ijk} and two anti-symmetrical tensors (B_{ijk} and C_{ijk}) with respect to 2nd and 3rd indices.

$$P_{ijk} = (P_{ijk} + P_{ikj})/2 + (P_{ijk} - P_{ikj})/2 = \bar{P}_{ijk} + \hat{P}_{ijk} \quad (65)$$

Above, $\bar{P}_{ijk}$ and $\hat{P}_{ijk}$ are symmetrical and anti-symmetrical in 2-3 indices tensors, respectively. We now introduce a fully symmetrical tensor $\bar{S}_{ijk} = (\bar{P}_{ijk} + \bar{P}_{jki} + \bar{P}_{kij})/3$. Using $\bar{S}_{ijk}$ we can rewrite the tensor $\bar{P}_{ijk}$ in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}_{ijk} &= [\bar{P}_{ijk} - (\bar{P}_{ijk} + \bar{P}_{jki} + \bar{P}_{kij})/3] + \bar{S}_{ijk} = \\ &= (\bar{P}_{ijk} - \bar{P}_{jki})/3 + (\bar{P}_{ijk} - \bar{P}_{kij})/3 + \bar{S}_{ijk} = \\ &= (\bar{P}_{ikj} - \bar{P}_{jki})/3 + (\bar{P}_{ijk} - \bar{P}_{kji})/3 + \bar{S}_{ijk} = \\ &= \bar{B}_{kij} + \bar{B}_{jik} + \bar{S}_{ijk} \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Above, $\bar{B}_{ijk} = (\bar{P}_{jik} - \bar{P}_{kij})/3$ is an anti-symmetrical in 2nd-3rd indices tensor. Since $\bar{B}_{ijk}$ is defined through the symmetrical in 2nd-3rd indices tensor $\bar{P}_{ijk}$, it satisfies the following equation:

$$\bar{B}_{ijk}\epsilon^{ijkl} = 0 \quad (67)$$

In the equation above, ϵ^{ijkl} is the fully anti-symmetrical tensor of Levi-Civita.

Due to their symmetries, each of the tensors $\bar{S}_{ijk}$, $\bar{B}_{ijk}$ has only one vector produced by a contraction of indices. This allows us to split each tensor into a traceless tensor and a vector. Tensor $\hat{P}_{ijk}$, however, has two different vectors that can be formed from it.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_{ijk} &= G_{ijk} + 1/6(G_i g_{jk} + G_j g_{ik} + G_k g_{ij}) \\ \bar{B}_{ijk} &= B_{ijk} + 1/3(B_k g_{ij} - B_j g_{ik}) \\ \hat{P}_{ijk} &= C_{ijk} + 1/3(C_k g_{ij} - C_j g_{ik}) + 1/6\epsilon_{ijkm}E^m \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Combining (65, 66, and 68) we will get:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ijk} &= [G_{ijk} + 1/6(G_i g_{jk} + G_k g_{ij} + G_j g_{ki})] + \\ &[(B_{jik} + B_{kij}) + 1/3(B_j g_{ik} + B_k g_{ij} - 2B_i g_{jk})] + \\ &[C_{ijk} + 1/3(C_k g_{ij} - C_j g_{ik})] + 1/6\epsilon_{ijkm}E^m \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

This representation is fully reversible. It allows us to express all individual components through the original tensor P_{jk}^i .

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &= (P_{ki}^k + P_{ik}^k + P_{nl}^m g^{nl} g_{mi})/3 \\ B_i &= (P_{ik}^k + P_{ki}^k - 2P_{ikl} g^{kl})/6 \\ C_i &= (P_{ki}^k - P_{ik}^k)/2 \\ E_i &= \epsilon_i^{jkm} P_{jkm} \\ G_{ijk} &= (P_{ijk} + P_{jki} + P_{kij} + P_{ikj} + P_{kji} + P_{jik})/6 \\ &\quad - (P_{ki}^k + P_{ik}^k + P_{nl}^m g^{nl} g_{mi})/3 \\ B_{ijk} &= (P_{jik} + P_{jki} - P_{kij} - P_{kji})/6 - (P_{ik}^k + P_{ki}^k - 2P_{ikl} g^{kl})/6 \\ C_{ijk} &= (P_{ijk} - P_{ikj})/2 - (P_{ki}^k - P_{ik}^k)/2 \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Appendix C

In this appendix we will give a step-by-step derivation of the Euler equations of motion for the Lagrangian $L = L(G_{i;j}, G_i, g_{ij})$ with respect to the tensor g_{ij} . The process is very similar to the one done in Appendix A.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta A &= \delta \int L(G_{i;j}, G_i, g_{ij}) \sqrt{g} d^4x = \\
&\delta \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \delta L(G_{i;j}, G_i, g_{ij}) = \\
&\int d^4x \delta [\sqrt{g} L(G_{i;j}, G_i, g_{ij})] = \\
&\int d^4x \sqrt{g} \{ [\partial L / \partial g_{ij} + 1/2 L g^{ij}] \delta g_{ij} + \partial L / \partial G_{i;j} \} \delta G_{i;j} \\
&\int d^4x \sqrt{g} \{ [\partial L / \partial g_{ij} + 1/2 L g^{ij}] \delta g_{ij} + \partial L / \partial G_{i;j} \delta \gamma_{ij}^p G_p \} \\
&\int d^4x \sqrt{g} \{ [\partial L / \partial g_{ij} + 1/2 L g^{ij}] \delta g_{ij} - \\
&1/2 [\partial L / \partial G_{i;j} + \partial L / \partial G_{j;i}] G^p \delta \gamma_{pij} \} = \\
&\int d^4x \sqrt{g} \{ [\partial L / \partial g_{ij} + 1/2 L g^{ij}] \delta g_{ij} + \\
&L^{ij} G^p (-1/2) (\delta g_{ip,j} + \delta g_{jp,i} - \delta g_{ij,p}) \} \tag{71}
\end{aligned}$$

Above, $L^{ij} = 1/2 [\partial L / \partial G_{i;j} + \partial L / \partial G_{j;i}]$.

We symmetrized the expression for L^{ij} due to the fact that $\delta \gamma_{pij}$ is symmetrical in the 2nd-3rd indices.

We also omitted the term containing $(\delta g^{pm}) \gamma_{mij} G_p$ because it vanishes during transition from the partial derivatives to the covariant ones. Integrating terms like $\delta g_{ip,j}$ by partial integration, we continue the expression above as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^4x \sqrt{g} [\partial L / \partial g_{ij} + 1/2 L g^{ij}] \delta g_{ij} + \\
&(1/2) \{ [L^{ij} G^p]_{,j} \delta g_{ip} + [L^{ij} G^p]_{,i} \delta g_{jp} - [L^{ij} G^p]_{,p} \delta g_{ij} \} \tag{72}
\end{aligned}$$

We can change indices in the last three terms in such a way that we

only have δg_{ij} :

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{g} \{ [\partial L / \partial g_{ij} + 1/2 L g^{ij}] \delta g_{ij} + (1/2) [(L^{ip} G^j)_{,p} + (L^{pj} G^i)_{,p} - (L^{ij} G^p)_{,p}] \} \delta g_{ij} \quad (73)$$

Commas, as partial derivatives, can be replaced with semi-columns (;) for covariant derivatives, and the equation $\delta A / \delta g_{ij} = 0$ yields:

$$[\partial L / \partial g_{ij} + 1/2 L g^{ij}] + (1/2) [(L^{ip} G^j)_{;p} + (L^{pj} G^i)_{;p} - (L^{ij} G^p)_{;p}] = 0 \quad (74)$$

We can now consider some particular Lagrangians as a function of G_i . Thus, for L given by eq. (33) $L = G_{i;j} G_{k;l} g^{ik} g^{jl} - (G_{i;j} g^{ij})^2$, we will get:

$$L^{ij} = [G^{i;j} + G^{j;i}] - [2G^k_{;k} g^{ij}] \quad (75)$$

The equation $\delta A / \delta g_{ij} = 0$ with all indices lowered down will have this expression:

$$\begin{aligned} & [-G_{i;k} G_{l;j} g^{kl} - G_{j;k} G_{l;i} g^{kl} + 1/2 (G_{k;l} G_{m;n} g^{km} g^{ln})] - \\ & [-G^k_{;k} (G_{i;j} + G_{j;i}) + 1/2 (G^k_{;k})^2 g_{ij}] + \\ & 1/2 [(G_{j;p} + G_{p;j}) G_i^{;p} + (G_{i;p} + G_{p;i}) G_j^{;p} - (G_{j;i} + G_{i;j}) G_p^{;p}] - \\ & [G^k_{;k} (G_{i;j} + G_{j;i}) - (G^k_{;k} g_{ij} G_p)^{;p}] \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Contracting it by indices $i - j$ we will have this expression for the law of conservation:

$$\begin{aligned} J^p_{;p} &= 0 \quad \text{where} \\ J_p &= G_{i;p} G^i + G_{p;i} G^i + G^i_{;i} G_p \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

In a more general case, when the Lagrangian is given by an expression:

$$L = k_1 G_{i;j} G^{i;j} + k_2 G_{i;j} G^{j;i} + k_3 (G_{i;})^2 + k_4 (G_{i;})^i G^k G_k \quad (78)$$

equation $\delta A/\delta g_{ij} = 0$ takes this form:

$$\begin{aligned}
& k_1[-G_{i;k}G_{j;l}g^{kl} - G_{k;i}G_{l;j}g^{kl} + 1/2(G_{k;l}G^{k;l})g_{ij}] - \\
& k_2[-G_{i;k}G_{l;j}g^{kl} - G_{j;k}G_{l;i}g^{kl} + 1/2(G_{k;l}G^{l;k})g_{ij}] - \\
& k_3[-G^k{}_{;k}(G_{i;j} + G_{j;i}) + 1/2(G^k{}_{;k})^2 g_{ij}] + \\
& k_4[-(G_k{}^{;k})G_iG_j + 1/2g_{ij}(G_k{}^{;k})G^mG_m] + \\
& (k_1 + k_2)/2[(G_{j;p} + G_{p;j})G_i + (G_{i;p} + G_{p;i})G_j - (G_{j;i} + G_{i;j})G_p]^{;p} + \\
& k_3[G^k{}_{;k}G_{i;j} + G^k{}_{;k}G_{j;i} - G^k{}_{;k}g_{ij}G_p]^{;p} + \\
& k_4/2[(G^kG_kG_i)_{;j} + (G^kG_kG_j)_{;i} - g_{ij}(G^mG_mG^k)_{;k}] = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

with the law of conservation given by the formula below:

$$\begin{aligned}
& J^p{}_{;p} = 0 \quad \text{where} \\
& J_p = (k_1 + k_2)(G_{i;p}G^i + G_{p;i}G^i) - \\
& (k_1 + k_2 + 2k_3)G^i{}_{;i}G_p - k_4G^iG_iG_p
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

References

- [1] H. Weyl, Space-Time-Matter, Dover Publ., 1952
- [2] A. S. Eddington, The Mathematical Theory of Relativity, Cambridge, 1923.
- [3] A. Einstein, Einheitliche Feldtheorie von Gravitation und Elektrizitat. Akad. Wiss., KI., 1925, 414-419.
- [4] E. Schrodinger, Space-Time Structure, Cambridge, 1950.
- [5] J. Weber, gravitation and Light, In Gravitation and Relativity, Benjamin Inc , New York, 1964.
- [6] J. A. Wheeler, Geometrodynamics, Academic Press, 1962.
- [7] Kaluza, S.B. preuss. Akad. Wiss. (1921) 966.
- [8] W. Pauli, Theory of Relativity, Pergamon Press, New York, 1958.
- [9] H. Goenner, On the History of Unified Theories., Living Reviews in Relativity, 2004.

- [10] R. H. Dicke, Many Faces of Mach. Gravitation and Relativity, Benjamin Inc , New York, 1964.
- [11] Brans. C. and R. H. Dicke, Machs Principle and Relativistic Theory of Gravitation, Phys. Rev, 124, 925-935, 1961.
- [12] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, J. A. Wheeler. Gravitation. p1066. Publ. by Freeman & Co., San Francisco 1973.
- [13] A. A. Logunov, Gravitation and Elementary Particle Physics, MIR 1983, p13.
- [14] C. Eling, T. Jacobson, D. Mattingly. Einstein-Ether Theory. [<http://arxiv.org/list/gr-qc/0410001>]
- [15] C. Heineki, P. Baekler, F. W. Hehl. Einstein-aether theory, violation of Lorentz invariance, and metric-affine gravity. [<http://arxiv.org/list/gr-qc/0504005>]
- [16] J. L. Synge, Relativity: The General Theory, North-Holland Publ., Amsterdam (1960)
- [17] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, The Clasical Theory of Fields, Adison-Wesley Publ., Reading, Mass (1962)